The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 51, nays 47, as follows:

#### [Rollcall Vote No. 422 Ex.]

#### YEAS-51

Baldwin Bennet Blumenthal Booker Brown Cantwell Cardin Carper Casey Collins Coons Cortez Masto Duckworth Durbin Gillibrand	Hickenlooper Hirono Kaine Kelly King Klobuchar Leahy Luján Manchin Markey Menendez Merkley Murkowski Murphy	Peters Portman Reed Rosen Sanders Schatz Schumer Shaheen Sinema Smith Stabenow Tester Warner Warner
Durbin	Murphy	Warnock
Gillibrand	Murray	Warren
Hassan	Ossoff	Whitehouse
Heinrich	Padilla	Wyden

#### NAYS-47

Barrasso	Graham	Risch
Blackburn	Grassley	Romney
Blunt	Hagerty	Rounds
Boozman	Hawley	Rubio
Braun	Hoeven	Sasse
Burr	Hyde-Smith	Scott (FL)
Capito	Inhofe	Scott (SC)
Cassidy	Johnson	Shelby
Cornyn	Kennedy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Cramer	Lee	Tillis
Crapo	Lummis	
Cruz	Marshall	Toomey
Daines	McConnell	Tuberville
Ernst	Moran	Wicker
Fischer	Paul	Young

### NOT VOTING-2

Feinstein

Van Hollen

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 51, the nays are 47. The motion is agreed to.

# EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Douglas L. Parker, of West Virginia, to be an Assistant Secretary of Labor.

# CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

## CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Executive Calendar No. 338, Myrna Perez, of New York, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Second Circuit.

Charles E. Schumer, Patty Murray, Sheldon Whitehouse, Ben Ray Luján, Martin Heinrich, Cory A. Booker, Jack Reed, Richard J. Durbin, Mazie K. Hirono, Christopher A. Coons, Richard Blumenthal, Jacky Rosen, Kirsten E. Gillibrand, Gary C. Peters, Chris Van Hollen, Robert P. Casey, Jr., Michael F. Bennet.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Myrna Perez, of New York, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Second Circuit, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. KING). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote or change their vote?

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 51, nays 48, as follows:

### [Rollcall Vote No. 423 Ex.]

#### YEAS-51

Baldwin	Hickenlooper	Peters
Bennet	Hirono	Reed
Blumenthal	Kaine	Rosen
Booker	Kelly	Sanders
Brown	King	Schatz
Cantwell	Klobuchar	Schumer
Cardin	Leahy	Shaheen
Carper	Luján	Sinema
Casey	Manchin	Smith
Coons	Markey	Stabenow
Cortez Masto	Menendez	Tester
Duckworth	Merkley	Van Hollen
Durbin	Murkowski	Warner
Gillibrand	Murphy	Warnock
Graham	Murray	Warren
Hassan	Ossoff	Whitehouse
Heinrich	Padilla	Wyden

#### NAYS-48

	11/1/15-10	
Barrasso	Fischer	Portman
Blackburn	Grassley	Risch
Blunt	Hagerty	Romney
Boozman	Hawley	Rounds
Braun	Hoeven	Rubio
Burr	Hyde-Smith	Sasse
Capito	Inhofe	Scott (FL)
Cassidy	Johnson	Scott (SC)
Collins	Kennedy	Shelby
Cornyn	Lankford	Sullivan
Cotton	Lee	Thune
Cramer	Lummis	Tillis
Crapo	Marshall	Toomey
Cruz	McConnell	Tuberville
Daines	Moran	Wicker
Ernst	Paul	Young

## NOT VOTING-1

Feinstein

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The yeas are 51, the nays are 48.

The motion is agreed to.

# EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Myrna Perez, of New York, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Second Circuit.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Massachusetts.

## VOTING RIGHTS

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. President, yesterday, the U.S. Senate was once again presented with the opportunity to protect the will and the voices of the American people. We had a chance to protect the sanctity of our electoral processes and to preserve our democracy. We had a chance to extend voting rights. But yesterday, for the second time this year, Republicans unanimously chose obstruction over debate, suppression over representation.

All Democrats agree: The Freedom to Vote Act is commonsense legislation.

It would enhance access to the ballot for all Americans—a right enshrined in our Constitution. It would enact badly needed election integrity reforms and eliminate emerging threats to our democracy. The Freedom to Vote Act would put in place key voter protections, such as automatic voter registration, making election day a holiday, and uniform early voting. It includes provisions that are broadly popular with the American people: ending partisan gerrymandering and removing special interest money from our politics

Every single Democrat voted for this bill. Democrats are united behind voting rights. Yesterday, we came to the floor together, ready to start the debate.

My Republican colleagues have said that Democrats are attempting to frame voting restrictions as voter suppression, implying that voter suppression is some figment of our imagination, a figment of the imagination of those across the country who are suffering from these practices. Well, to Republican colleagues, look around. Nineteen States have passed 33 new laws this year that make it harder to vote. We know that these laws disproportionately disenfranchise Black voters, Brown voters, immigrant voters: voters like a mother in Georgia. who can't vote because she can't take time off from the job she works to cover the bills in the midst of a pandemic; or the poll worker in Arizona, who was arrested—arrested—for giving a bottle of water to a woman waiting in extreme heat to vote: or the person in Texas, who couldn't vote because he didn't have a ride to the polls.

Stories like these are not exaggerations. They are facts, and they are unconscionable facts. If Congress does not step up to the plate on voting rights, then we are signaling to every person in this country that their voice does not matter. We are telling them that we are a country that cares about representation for some but not for all in our country.

Ensuring voting rights is how we show, no matter a person's background or race or hometown or economic status, that their voice can be heard and represented. Not just the wealthy, not just big corporations, not just White Americans—everybody in our country is fully protected so that they can vote, so they can express that central right in our country.

Inaction on voting rights is not an option. Voting rights are the people's power, and the people's power is how we unlock opportunity, representation, and justice for everyone in our Nation. Ensuring the right to vote is how we restore faith in our democracy and how we turn popular opinion into legislative action here on the floor of the Senate.

This is how we take action to save our planet from the existential threat of the climate crisis that is impacting us right now. This is how we take action to respond to the ongoing COVID—